

## 10 Reasons Why Doctors Should Not Hesitate to Sign Medical Exemptions for Vaccinations When That Is the Only Option Available to Patients/Parents

By Laura Hayes, 1/11/16

A doctor cannot obtain prior, voluntary and non-coerced, informed consent from a patient/parent.

A doctor has no way to know if a fetus, newborn, infant, or toddler is allergic to the ingredients in vaccines. This applies to patients of all ages.

A doctor is not to violate his oath to “First, do no harm.”

A doctor is responsible for knowing that vaccine trials have not been performed using double-blind, placebo-controlled trials, nor has the CDC-recommended vaccine schedule been tested as it is recommended to be administered.

A doctor is not to violate the religious beliefs of his patients, nor should he be required to violate his own religious beliefs.

A doctor is to respect and abide by a patient’s/parent’s desire not to forfeit the benefits of contracting illnesses naturally.

A doctor is responsible for knowing that vaccines have not been tested for carcinogenic or mutagenic effects.

A doctor is not comfortable violating a patient’s/parent’s Constitutional and First Amendment rights, nor is he comfortable violating international codes of ethics.

A doctor is not comfortable implementing a forced medicine law authored by a colleague who holds the false and dangerous belief that water is the most dangerous ingredient in vaccines.

A doctor knows that there are risk-free ways to protect, maintain, and enhance his patients’ health, without the use of risk-laden vaccines.

Written by Laura Hayes, whose 2016 mission is to fight for banning vaccine mandates, restoring individual and parental rights with regard to medical decision making, and repealing the 1986 NCVIA. We need to stop begging and pleading for exemption rights and DEMAND our fundamental human right to decide that which does, or does not, enter our bodies or those of our children.